BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 10084226 9

Store
KF
534
M45
1973

Mendes da Costa, Derek.
Materials on the
Divorce act. 1973/74
ed.

MATERIALS ON THE DIVORCE ACT

D. Mendes da Costa
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1973-74 EDITION

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario

N531.L

CONTENTS

CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

Text 1.1.1

CHAPTER II
TRANSITIONAL AND REPEAL

Section 1 THE STATUTE

The Divorce Act 2.1.1

Section 2 REPEAL

Text 2.2.1
Rose (otherwise Abroms) v. Rose 2.2.3
Hurson v. Hurson 2.2.7
Anderson v. Anderson 2.2.8
Text 2.2.10

Section 3 TRANSITIONAL

Text 2.3.1
Butterfield v. Butterfield 2.3.2
Jackson v. Jackson 2.3.4

CHAPTER III
STATISTICAL INFORMATION

Text 3.1.1

CHAPTER IV
JURISDICTION

Section 1 THE STATUTE

The Divorce Act 4.1.1

Section 2 THE REQUIREMENT OF DOMICILE

Khalifa v. Khalifa 4.2.1
Armstrong v. Armstrong 4.2.3

Section 3 CANADIAN DOMICILE

Text 4.3.1
Jablonowski v. Jablonowski 4.3.3

Section 4 DOMICILE OF THE WIFE

Text 4.4.1
Geldart v. Geldart 4.4.3
Cagnoni v. Cagnoni 4.4.6
Dimitrijevic v. Dimitrijevic 4.4.7

Section 5 POINT OF TIME DOMICILE MUST EXIST

Text 4.5.1
Weston v. Weston 4.5.2

Section 6 THE REQUIREMENT OF RESIDENCE

Cullen v. Cullen 4.6.1

Section 7 ORDINARILY RESIDENT

Text 4.7.1
Wood v. Wood 4.7.4
Hardy v. Hardy 4.7.5
Marsellus v. Marsellus 4.7.7
Nowlan v. Nowlan 4.7.8
Lotoski v. Lotoski 4.7.10

Section 8 ACTUALLY RESIDENT

Text 4.8.1
Wood v. Wood 4.8.4
Hardy v. Hardy 4.8.5
Marsellus v. Marsellus 4.8.6
Norton v. Norton 4.8.8
Cuzner v. Cuzner 4.8.10

Section 9 PURPOSE OF RESIDENCE QUALIFICATIONS

Text 4.9.1

Section 10 CONCURRENT PROCEEDINGS

Text 4.10.1
Barlow v. Barlow 4.10.3
Nelson v. Nelson 4.10.5

Section 11 COUNTER-PETITION

Text 4.11.1
Boyce v. Boyce 4.11.2

Section 12 WHERE PETITION OPPOSED

Text 4.12.1
Mattson v. Mattson and Seabrook 4.12.3

Section 13 POLYGAMOUS MARRIAGES

Text 4.13.1
Lim v. Lim 4.13.2
Re Quon 4.13.5
The Matrimonial Proceedings (Polygamous Marriages) Act 1972 (U.K.) 4.13.8
The Matrimonial Causes Act 1959 (Aust.) 4.13.11

CHAPTER V

RECONCILIATION

Section 1 GENERAL

Text 5.1.1

Section 2 DUTY OF LEGAL ADVISER

The Divorce Act 5.2.1
Text 5.2.2

Section 3 DUTY OF THE COURT

The Divorce Act 5.3.1
Text 5.3.2
Paskiewich v. Paskiewich 5.3.4
Miller v. Miller 5.3.5

Section 4 CONCLUSION

Text 5.4.1

CHAPTER VI

FACTORS WHICH MAY PRECLUDE RELIEF

Section 1 THE STATUTE

The Divorce Act 6.1.1

Section 2 THOSE PROVISIONS OF THE ACT AND SECTION 3

CONDONATION---a) General Principles

The Divorce Act 6.2.1
The Divorce Act 6.2.2
Trites v. Trites 6.2.3
Strachan v. Strachan 6.2.10
Nielsen v. Nielsen 6.2.13
Leaderhouse v. Leaderhouse 6.2.26
Profit v. Profit 6.2.31
Einarson v. Einarson 6.2.34
Kratko v. Kratko 6.2.36
Footnotes 6.2.37

b) Abrogation or Doctrine of Revival

The Divorce Act 6.3.21
Lyons v. Lyons 6.3.21
Croft v. Croft 6.3.30
Harasyn v. Harasyn 6.3.34
Jaworski v. Jaworski 6.3.35

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/materialsondivor00mend_2

c) The "public interest"

The Divorce Act 6.2.3[illegible]
Blue v. Blue 6.2.37
Sergeant v. Sergeant 6.2.38
Getson v. Getson 6.2.39
Harasyn v. Harasyn 6.2.40
Nielsen v. Nielsen 6.2.41
Allan v. Allan 6.2.42
Saxton v. Saxton 6.2.43

d) Limitation upon the definition of condonation

The Divorce Act 6.2.45
Stevenson v. Stevenson 6.2.45
Cherniski v. Cherniski 6.2.46
Armstrong v. Armstrong 6.2.47
Busch v. Busch 6.2.48

CONNIVANCE

Maddock v. Maddock 6.2.56
Fleet v. Fleet 6.2.69

Section 3 THOSE PROVISIONS WHICH APPLY TO SECTION 4

The Divorce Act 6.3.1

a) Occurence or resumption of cohabitation

Text 6.3.1

b) Prejudicial effect of decree

The Divorce Act 6.3.2
Davies v. Davies 6.3.2
Williams v. Williams 6.3.3
Secord v. Secord 6.3.4
Williston v. Williston 6.3.9
Wallace v. Wallace 6.3.10

Section 4 THOSE PROVISIONS WHICH APPLY TO SECTION 4(1)(e)

The Divorce Act 6.4.1
Johnstone v. Johnstone 6.4.1
Ferguson v. Ferguson 6.4.7
Williams v. Williams 6.4.10
Smith v. Smith 6.4.12
Bigelow v. Bigelow 6.4.18
Derrick v. Derrick 6.4.20
Challoner v. Challoner 6.4.23

Section 5 GENERAL RULE TO BE APPLIED

The Divorce Act 6.5.1

a) Consent

Woodbeck v. Woodbeck 6.5.1

b) Agreements

Ewasiuk v. Ewasiuk 6.5.2
Veysey v. Veysey 6.5.3
B. v. Dame D. 6.5.4
MacCurdy v. MacCurdy 6.5.6
Giroux v. Dame Ouelette 6.5.8

c) Collusion

Johnson v. Johnson	6.5.11
Gosling v. Gosling	6.5.27
Campbell v. Campbell	6.5.29
Tannis v. Tannis	6.5.34
Milne v. Milne	6.5.36
Stavridis v. Stavridis	6.5.37
Matrimonial Causes Act 1965, (U.K.)	6.5.43
Divorce Reform Act 1969, (U.K.)	6.5.44